FHIMS WG Terminology Modeling Sub-Project Meeting

Summary of Call

Date/time of call: Wednesday, October 30 2013, 2:00 - 3:30 PM

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Attendees** | | | |
| Jay Lyle - FHA PMO | Y | Robert Crawford – VA |  |
| Rob McClure - VA/VHA | Y | LuAnne Barron |  |
| Bill Hess – FDA |  | John Carter |  |
| Galen Mulrooney - VA/VHA | Y | Riki Merrick |  |
| Susan Matney – 3M |  | Kevin Coonan |  |
| Jim Case – NLM |  | Holly Miller – VA |  |
| Steve Wagner – FHA | Y | Catherine Hoang – VA |  |
| David Bass – VA |  | Glen Janzen – IHS |  |
| Mark Roche |  | Steve Hufnagel – DoD |  |
| Sean Muir – VA |  | Charles |  |
| Jerry Sable – CDC |  | J.P. Kelly – DeLoitte/IPO |  |
| Ioana Singureanu |  | Charles Gabriel |  |
| Ben Bovee – DoD (iEHR) |  | Greg Rehwoldt – IPO |  |
| Jeff Jacobs – IPO |  | Coco Tsai – FDA |  |
| Eric Rothschild – IPO |  | Frank Switzer – FDA |  |
| Dornn Harris – IPO |  | Steve Emrick – NLM |  |
| Caitlin Ryan |  | Iona Thraen – VA | Y |

Agenda

1. Timing: can we shorten to 60 minutes, or move to 4 pm ET? HL7 Value Set Definition project conflict.
   1. 4 ET for 90 minutes, or 3 ET for 60 minutes: poll the distribution list. Not enough people on call to decide.
2. Identify use cases for provider domain.
   1. HL7 V2 Personnel Management specifications
   2. HL7 V3 Personnel Management model
   3. “Provider” used in many cases (e.g., orders)
      1. But they’re light, e.g., name, identifier
   4. Licenses & specialties used for credentialing – and for eligibility as well
      1. Also may have international component for DoD. At least for Pharma; possibly for providers
      2. S&I Framework provider directory; we should support that
         1. May recognize two “specialty” value sets – consumer-facing and credentialing
      3. Galen can see what’s in the VA credentialing table. Ask Steve H as well? AHLTA as Tricare as well.
3. Approach for CD data type: identify not just value set, but need for original text, nullable, CWE/CNE, static vs. dynamic binding, static vs. dynamic value set definition, support for translation. Will provide spreadsheet for next meeting.
   1. Original text: default is . . .
      1. If implementers map legacy values to FHIM values, do we need to allow them to send their text values as well?
   2. Or: postpone these decisions to the implementation model?
      1. Original text: defer to IG. Remove specialized type.
      2. Coding strength: define in model. Default should be CNE, if that doesn’t interfere with IG constraint process.
      3. Binding stability:

**Schedule of Future Meetings**

1) The weekly general Information Modeling (IM) project call is held each Friday from 2:30 to 4:30 PM Eastern Time.

Information for participating in the calls:

Name: FHIMS WG Information Modeling Project Call

Recurring Weekly Call Every Friday

Time of Call: 2:30 to 4:30 PM Eastern Time

Dial-in Information: 1 (773) 897-3018, Access Code: 585-151-437

Web Meeting URL: <https://global.gotomeeti​ng.com/meeting/join/5851​51437>

2) The weekly Terminology Information modeling calls are held on Wednesdays from 2-3:30 PM Eastern Time.

Information for participating in the calls:

Name: FHIMS WG Information Modeling Project Call

Recurring Weekly Call Every Wednesday

Time of Call: 2:00 to 3:30 PM Eastern Time

Dial-in Information: 1 1 (773) 945-1031 Access Code: 849-124-653

Web Meeting URL: https://global.gotomeeti​ng.com/join/849124653

**Action Items**

| Item Description | Responsible Individual | Due Date |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Assess how closely we can align with APHL work   * We agree on current state; happy to work with/wait on abnormality & device | Jay | 4/11 |
| Acquire sample messages   * In process: values, not messages, which have not been scrubbed | Jay | 4/11 |